

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,743	10/26/2001	Balakrishnan Shankar	SJ1-026US	9121
36802	7590 05/12/	05	EXAM	INER
	TER, INC.	OROPEZA,	OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
	LEY VIEW COURT CA 91392-9221		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3762	
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/12/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/039,743	SHANKAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Frances P. Oropeza	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/15/05 (Amendment) . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,5-24 and 26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,5-12,15-24 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contrac	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
•		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/039,743

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response

1. The Applicant amended independent claims 3, 8, 10 and 19 hence the rejection of record is withdrawn and a new rejection established in the subsequent paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 2-8, 10, 11, 15-17, 19, 20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haubrich (US 6379300). Haubrich discloses and implanted device (10) with an enclosure (12) and header, antenna (20a), a diplexer (26, 30, 32, 34), an RF transceiver (24), feedthroughs (22) and pacing/ sensing circuitry (28). The enclosure (12) is read as the first chamber and the header is read as the second chamber, the second chamber containing communication circuitry, an antenna and diplexer. The antenna is read as a transceiver since, as part of the transceiver, it is used for both reception and transmission (figure 2; col. 2 @ 47 - col. 3 @ 23; col. 3 @ 46 - col. 4 @ 24). US 5697958 to Paul et al. is incorporated by reference (col. 1 @ 22-31) to teach the antenna in the header (abstract). US 5861019 to Sun et al. is incorporated by reference (col. 1 @ 22-31) to teach the antenna integrated into the casing (abstract).

As to the communication circuitry adapted to transmit and receive RF/ high frequency communication signals via an antenna, Haubrich teaches communication circuitry adapted to transmit and receive RF/ high frequency communication signals via an antenna (col. 1 @ 5-15 and 52-56; col. 2 @ 9-18; col. 3 @ 61 – col. 4 @ 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,743

Art Unit: 3762

The Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/05 have been fully considered, but they are not convincing. The Applicant asserts Haubrich does not teach a casing that houses the cardiac therapy circuitry in electrical isolation from the communication circuitry which is adapted to transmit and receive communication signals via an antenna, the casing including a first chamber to house the cardiac therapy circuitry and a second chamber to house the communication circuitry. The Examiner disagrees for two reasons.

First, in response to the Applicant's argument that the references fail to show a certain feature of the Applicant's invention, it is noted that the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., providing "electrical isolation") is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim recites the limitation of "isolation", not "electrical isolation".

Secondly, Haubrich does teach a casing (housing (12) and header) that houses the cardiac therapy circuitry (pace/sense (28)) in isolation (by virtue of the housing wall and diplexer/ filters) from the communication circuitry (antenna (20a) and diplexer (32, 34, 26, 30)) which is adapted to transmit and receive communication signals via an antenna (20a), the casing (housing (12) and header) including a first chamber (housing (12)) to house the cardiac therapy circuitry (pace/sense (28)) and a second chamber (header) to house the communication circuitry (antenna (20a) and diplexer (32, 34, 26, 30)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 9, 18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haubrich (US 6379300) in view of Bardy (US 6312378). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, Haubrich discloses the claimed invention except for the implanted device being linked to a computing network.

Bardy teaches data management using a computing system (14, 15, 16, 17) connected to an implantable device (12) for the purpose of automatically collecting and analyzing patient information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a computing system connected to an implantable device in the Haubrich system in order to enable remote patient care so trends in the patient's condition can be recognized and a determination made regarding the need for intervention (figure 1; col. 1 @ 8-12; col. 2 @ 41-49).

4. Claims 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haubrich (US 6379300) in view of Ware (US 4314562). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, Haubrich discloses the claimed invention except for the second chamber being encompassed in the first chamber.

Ware teaches implantable system enclosures using chambers (cups with covers) for the purpose of electrically isolating system components. Absent any teaching of criticality or unexpected results, it would have been obvious to enclose the diplexer within a second chamber that is encompassed in the first chamber as a mere substitute of know functionally equivalent housing structures (figures 1, 9; col. 3 @ 6-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Statutory Basis

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza, telephone number is (571) 272-4953.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,743

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor,

Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication

and for After Final communications.

Angela D. After Final communications.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762 \$90 5/2/05

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700